

Lecture 3

22/04/2021

THEOREM (Completion of a space with inner product)

(pre-Hilbert space)

Let $(\mathcal{V}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{V}})$ be an inner product space on \mathbb{F} ($\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C})

Then \exists Hilbert space $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})$ and $U: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ st

(i) U is injective

(ii) U is linear

(iii) $\langle U(x), U(y) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle x, y \rangle_{\mathcal{V}}$ $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{V}$

(iv) $U(\mathcal{V}) = \{U(x) : x \in \mathcal{V}\}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} .

If \mathcal{V} is complete, then $U(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{H}$.

Remark Keep in mind how you complete rational numbers to obtain real numbers!

$$\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

idea Define \mathcal{H} as set possible limits of \mathcal{V}

ATTENTION: Different sequences might converge to the same element.

proof

Notation: $\|\cdot\|_2 \rightarrow$ the norm induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}} = \left\{ \{x_m\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ Cauchy sequence in } \mathcal{V} \right\}$$

↑
ex.
(vector space over \mathbb{F})

$$\begin{aligned} &\hookrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N = N(\varepsilon) \text{ st if } m, m' \geq N \\ &\Rightarrow \|x_m - x_{m'}\|_2 < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Introduce this relation

$$\{x_m\}_m \sim \{y_m\}_m \iff \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x_m - y_m\|_2 = 0$$

↳ this is an equivalence relation

Reflexivity: $\{x_m\}_m \sim \{x_m\}_m$

Transitivity: $\{x_m\}_m \sim \{y_m\}_m$ & $\{y_m\}_m \sim \{z_m\}_m$

$$\Rightarrow \{x_m\}_m \sim \{z_m\}_m$$

↑
TRIANGLE INEQ.

Symmetry: $\{x_m\}_m \sim \{y_m\}_m \Rightarrow \{y_m\}_m \sim \{x_m\}_m$

→ TAKE THE QUOTIENT! $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} / \sim$

Define $\mathcal{H} = \tilde{V} / \sim$ ← quotient space

an element of this quotient is an equivalence

class $[\{x_m\}] = \{ \{y_m\} \in \tilde{V} \text{ st } \{y_m\} \sim \{x_m\} \}$

Properties of \mathcal{H} :

I. Either $[\{x_m\}] = [\{y_m\}]$ or $[\{x_m\}] \cap [\{y_m\}] = \emptyset$

proof: suppose that $[\{x_m\}] \neq [\{y_m\}]$ but

$$[\{x_m\}] \cap [\{y_m\}] \neq \emptyset$$

\Downarrow

$$\exists \{z_m\} \in [\{x_m\}] \cap [\{y_m\}]$$

$$\Rightarrow \{z_m\} \sim \{x_m\} \quad (\Leftrightarrow \|x_m - z_m\|_2 \rightarrow 0)$$

and

$$\{z_m\} \sim \{y_m\} \quad (\Leftrightarrow \|y_m - z_m\|_2 \rightarrow 0)$$

$$\rightarrow \exists \{v_m\} \in [\{x_m\}]$$

$$\text{but } \{v_m\} \notin [\{y_m\}]$$

← or vice-versa

$$\|v_m - y_m\|_2 \leq \underbrace{\|v_m - x_m\|_2}_{\rightarrow 0} + \underbrace{\|x_m - z_m\|_2}_{\rightarrow 0} + \underbrace{\|z_m - y_m\|_2}_{\rightarrow 0} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \|v_m - y_m\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \{v_m\} \sim \{y_m\} \Leftrightarrow \{v_m\} \in [\{y_m\}]$$

CONTRADICTION

II If $\{x_m\}_m, \{y_m\}_m \in \tilde{V} \Rightarrow \exists \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_m, y_m \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$

proof. We want to show that the sequence $\langle x_m, y_m \rangle$ is Cauchy in \mathbb{F} ($\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} \leftarrow it is complete)

Fix $\epsilon > 0$

$$|\langle x_m, y_m \rangle - \langle x_n, y_n \rangle| \leq$$

$$\leq |\langle x_m - x_n, y_m \rangle| + |\langle x_n, y_m - y_n \rangle|$$

CAUCHY SCHWARTZ

$$\leq \underbrace{\|x_m - x_n\|_V}_{\substack{\text{CAUCHY} \\ \text{SEQUENCE} \\ \text{(ALL SEQ. IN } \tilde{V} \\ \text{ARE CAUCHY)}}} \underbrace{\|y_m\|_V}_{\substack{\text{BOUNDED} \\ \text{(CAUCHY SEQ} \\ \text{ARE BOUNDED)}}} + \underbrace{\|x_n\|_V}_{\substack{\text{BOUNDED} \\ \text{(CAUCHY SEQ} \\ \text{ARE BOUNDED)}}} \underbrace{\|y_m - y_n\|_V}_{\text{CAUCHY}}$$

$$\leq \epsilon$$

\uparrow

$$m, n \geq N$$

$\Rightarrow \{\langle x_m, y_m \rangle\}_m$ is Cauchy in \mathbb{F}

Hence $\exists \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_m, y_m \rangle = 0$

III
• \mathcal{H} is a vector space on \mathbb{F}

$$\hookrightarrow \text{i) } [\{x_m\}] + [\{y_m\}] = [\{x_m + y_m\}]$$

$$\text{ii) } \alpha [\{x_m\}] = [\{\alpha x_m\}] \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$$

proof (i) if $\{x'_m\} \sim \{x_m\} \Rightarrow \{x'_m + y'_m\} \sim \{x_m + y_m\}$
 $\{y'_m\} \sim \{y_m\}$

im fact $\| (x'_m + y'_m) - (x_m + y_m) \|_2 \leq$

$$\leq \underbrace{\|x'_m - x_m\|_2} + \underbrace{\|y'_m - y_m\|_2} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

□

(ii) similarly \leftarrow exercise.

IV We define an inner product on \mathcal{H} in the following way:

way:

$$\langle [x_m], [y_m] \rangle := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_m, y_m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

↳ check that it is well-defined
namely show that the limit does not depend on the chosen representative of the quotient class

THIS LIMIT EXISTS BY II

$$\{x'_m\} \sim \{x_m\} \quad (\Leftrightarrow [x'_m] = [x_m])$$

$$\{y'_m\} \sim \{y_m\}$$

$$|\langle x'_m, y'_m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} - \langle x_m, y_m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| \leq$$

$$\leq |\langle x'_m - x_m, y'_m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}| + |\langle x_m, y'_m - y_m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}|$$

$$\leq \|x'_m - x_m\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|y'_m\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|x_m\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|y'_m - y_m\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$

↑ CAUCHY-SCHWARZ

↓ 0

↑ BOUNDED
(it is CAUCHY)

↓ 0

$$\xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_m, y_m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle x'_m, y'_m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

Let us prove that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is an inner product over \mathcal{H}

$$\bullet \langle \alpha [\{x_m\}] + \beta [\{y_m\}], [z_m] \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

$$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\substack{\text{"} \\ [\{\alpha x_m + \beta y_m\}]} \leftarrow \text{FROM III}}$$

$$= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle \alpha x_m + \beta y_m, z_m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha \langle x_m, z_m \rangle + \beta \langle y_m, z_m \rangle$$

↑
Definition of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$

↑
 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is
an inner
product

$$= \alpha \langle [\{x_m\}], [z_m] \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \beta \langle [\{y_m\}], [z_m] \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

$$\bullet \langle [\{z_m\}], \alpha [\{x_m\}] + \beta [\{y_m\}] \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \bar{\alpha} \langle [\{z_m\}], [\{x_m\}] \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} + \bar{\beta} \langle [\{z_m\}], [\{y_m\}] \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

↑
EXERCISE

$$\bullet \langle [\{x_m\}], [\{y_m\}] \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \overline{\langle [\{y_m\}], [\{x_m\}] \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}}$$

$$\bullet \langle [\{x_m\}], [\{x_m\}] \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\langle x_m, x_m \rangle}_{\geq 0} \geq 0$$

→ Hence $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a semi-inner product.

• Norm degeneracy: $\langle [\{x_m\}], \{x_m\} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_m, x_m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \|x_m\| \rightarrow 0$$



$$\|x_m - 0\| \rightarrow 0$$

$$\{0\}_m \sim \{x_m\}_m$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [\{0\}_m] = [\{x_m\}]$$

null element in \mathcal{H}

So: if $\langle [\{x_m\}], [\{x_m\}] \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \Rightarrow [\{x_m\}] = [0]_{\mathcal{H}}$

→ Hence $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ is an inner product

Summarizing:

$(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})$ is an inner product space

↳ Let us prove that this is complete.

V. $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H)$ is complete (Hence a Hilbert space)

proof $\{S^{(m)}\} \subseteq H$ Cauchy sequence in H

$$S^{(m)} = [\{x_j^{(m)}\}_j]$$

GOAL: Show that $S^{(m)}$ has a limit in H

• CONSTRUCTION OF A CANDIDATE TO BE THE LIMIT

• START WITH $S^{(1)} = [\{x_j^{(1)}\}]$

$\{x_j^{(1)}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{V}

$$\Rightarrow \exists l_1 \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall j \geq l_1 \quad \|x_j^{(1)} - \underbrace{x_{l_1}^{(1)}}_{\mathcal{V}}\| < 1$$

• $S^{(2)} = [\{x_j^{(2)}\}]$

Define $\bar{x}_1 = x_{l_1}^{(1)}$

$\{x_j^{(2)}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{V}

$$\Rightarrow \exists l_2 \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall j \geq l_2 \quad \|x_j^{(2)} - \underbrace{x_{l_2}^{(2)}}_{\mathcal{V}}\| < \frac{1}{2}$$

• $S^{(m)} = [\{x_j^{(m)}\}]$

Define $\bar{x}_2 = x_{l_2}^{(2)}$

$\{x_j^{(m)}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{V}

$$\Rightarrow \exists l_m \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall j \geq l_m \quad \|x_j - \underbrace{x_{l_m}^{(m)}}_{\mathcal{V}}\| < \frac{1}{m}$$

Define $\bar{x}_m = x_{l_m}^{(m)}$ (*)

ITERATE

→ We have defined a sequence $\{\bar{x}_m\} \in \tilde{X}$



Let us prove that $\{\bar{x}_m\}$ is Cauchy in \tilde{X}

→ Prove that $\{\bar{x}_m\}$ is a Cauchy sequence: Fix $\epsilon > 0$

$$\|\bar{x}_m - \bar{x}_n\|_{\tilde{X}} = \|x_{l_m}^{(m)} - x_{l_m}^{(n)}\|_{\tilde{X}}$$

$$\leq \|x_{l_m}^{(m)} - x_j^{(m)}\|_{\tilde{X}} + \|x_j^{(m)} - x_j^{(n)}\|_{\tilde{X}} + \|x_j^{(n)} - x_{l_m}^{(n)}\|_{\tilde{X}}$$

$$= \|x_{l_m}^{(m)} - x_j^{(m)}\|_{\tilde{X}} + \left(\|x_j^{(m)} - x_j^{(n)}\|_{\tilde{X}} - \|S^{(m)} - S^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} \right)$$

(#)

$$+ \|S^{(m)} - S^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \|x_j^{(m)} - x_{l_m}^{(m)}\|_{\tilde{X}}$$

Being Cauchy $\leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ if $m, n \geq N = N(\epsilon)$ $\sim \frac{1}{m}$ if $j \geq l_m$

$N = N(\epsilon)$

$\leq \frac{1}{m}$ if $j \geq l_m$ (see (#) on the previous page)

$$(\#): \|S^{(m)} - S^{(n)}\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_j^{(m)} - x_j^{(n)}\|_{\tilde{X}}$$

By definition

Hence $\exists N_{m,m}$ st if $j \geq N_{m,m}$ $(\#) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}$

Summarizing

$$\|\bar{x}_m - \bar{x}_m\| \leq \underbrace{\frac{1}{m}}_{\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{m}}_{\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \leq \varepsilon$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} m, m \geq \frac{4}{\varepsilon} \\ \text{and } m, m \geq \tilde{N} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \{\bar{x}_m\}_m \text{ is Cauchy in } \mathcal{V} \Rightarrow \{\bar{x}_m\} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \quad \square$$

→ Remains to prove that $S^{(m)} \rightarrow [\{\bar{x}_m\}] = \bar{S}$

In fact:

$$\|S^{(m)} - \bar{S}\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_j^{(m)} - \bar{x}_j^{(j)}\|_{\mathcal{V}}$$

$$\|x_j^{(m)} - \bar{x}_j^{(j)}\|_{\mathcal{V}} \leq \underbrace{\|x_j^{(j)} - x_{l_m}^{(m)}\|_{\mathcal{V}}}_{\text{Being Cauchy}} + \underbrace{\|x_{l_m}^{(m)} - x_j^{(m)}\|_{\mathcal{V}}}_{\frac{1}{m} \text{ if } j \geq l_m}$$

$\exists M$ if $j, m \geq M$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

by definition of \bar{x}_j

Hence

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_j^{(m)} - x_0^{(j)}\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{m}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|S^{(m)} - \bar{S}\|_H \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{m}$$

Hence $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|S^{(m)} - \bar{S}\|_H \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$

since ε is arbitrary $\Rightarrow \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|S^{(m)} - \bar{S}\|_H \leq 0$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|S^{(m)} - \bar{S}\|_H = 0 \quad \square$$

VI What is $U: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow H$?

$$x \in \mathcal{V} \quad U(x) = [\{x\}]$$

How to define the inclusion map

constant sequence
 $x_m = x \quad \forall m$

START TO PROVE PROPERTIES IN THE STATEMENT OF THE THEOREM

(... CONTINUE NEXT TIME)