

★ Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be any topological spaces and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map.

Consider the following conditions:

Ⓐ  $f$  is continuous

Ⓑ Given any "sequence"

$$x_n \rightarrow x \text{ in } X \Rightarrow f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x) \text{ in } Y$$

→ In general

Ⓐ  $\Rightarrow$  Ⓑ but Ⓑ  $\not\Rightarrow$  Ⓐ

→ Ⓐ is equivalent to Ⓑ if  $X$  and  $Y$  are "first-countable": each

point of  $X$  and  $Y$  have a "countable neighborhood base" or "local base"

→  $X$  has a "countable local base" if

$\forall x \in X, \exists$  a sequence of n.d.s.

$N_1, N_2, \dots$  of  $x$  such that

for any nbd.  $N$  of  $x \exists i \in \mathbb{N}$

such that  $N_i \subset N$ .

→ "Almost all" spaces in mathematics are first-countable, in particular all metric spaces.

★ If we introduce

(B') For any "net"  $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  in  $X$

$$\lim_{\alpha \in A} x_\alpha = x \quad \text{in } X \quad \implies \quad \lim_{\alpha \in A} f(x_\alpha) = f(x) \quad \text{in } Y$$

→ Then (A) and (B') are "always" equivalent.

★ General Notion of Summability

Let  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  be a set of vectors in a Banach space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F} := \{F \subset A : F \text{ finite}\}$

→ With the "partial order"

$$F_1 \leq F_2 \iff F_1 \subset F_2$$

$\mathcal{F}$  is a directed set.

→ For each  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , let

$$g_F = \sum_{\alpha \in F} f_\alpha \quad \text{"partial sum"}$$

$\Rightarrow (g_F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$  is a "net" of partial sums.

→ If  $(g_F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$  converges to some  $g \in \mathcal{H}$ , then we say the "sum"

$\sum_{\alpha \in A} f_\alpha$  "converges" and write

$$g = \sum_{\alpha \in A} f_\alpha .$$

Proposition 1.9: If  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  is a set of vectors in a Banach space  $H$ , then

$$\sum_{\alpha \in A} \|f_\alpha\| \text{ converges in } \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{\alpha \in A} f_\alpha \text{ converges in } H.$$

Proof: It suffices to show that the net of partial sums  $(g_F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$ , where

$$g_F = \sum_{\alpha \in F} f_\alpha, \text{ is a "Cauchy net".}$$

→ Since  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} \|f_\alpha\|$  converges in  $\mathbb{R}$

$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists F_0 \in \mathcal{F}$  such that

$$F \supseteq F_0 \Rightarrow \sum_{\alpha \in F} \|f_\alpha\| - \sum_{\alpha \in F_0} \|f_\alpha\| < \epsilon \quad \text{--- (A)}$$

→ Hence for  $F_1, F_2 \supseteq F_0$  we have

$$\|g_{F_1} - g_{F_2}\| = \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in F_1} f_\alpha - \sum_{\alpha \in F_2} f_\alpha \right\|$$

$$= \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in F_1 \setminus F_2} f_\alpha - \sum_{\alpha \in F_2 \setminus F_1} f_\alpha \right\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{\alpha \in F_1 \setminus F_2} \|f_\alpha\| + \sum_{\alpha \in F_2 \setminus F_1} \|f_\alpha\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{\alpha \in F_1 \cup F_2} \|f_\alpha\| - \sum_{\alpha \in F_0} \|f_\alpha\| < \varepsilon$$

by (A) because  $F_1 \cup F_2 \geq F_0$ .

$\therefore (g_F)_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$  is Cauchy and  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} f_\alpha$  converges  $\square$

Corollary: A normed linear space  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Banach space if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n\| < \infty \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \text{ converges in } \mathcal{H}$$

for any sequence  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Proof: ( $\Rightarrow$ ) This direction of the proof is Proposition 1.9.

(18)

$\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence in a normed space  $H$  where condition (B) is valid.

$\rightarrow$  Choose subsequence  $(g_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  as follows: Choose  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t

$$i, j \geq n_1 \Rightarrow \|g_i - g_j\| < 1$$

$\rightarrow$  Having chosen  $(n_k)_{k=1}^N$ , choose

$n_{N+1} > n_N$  s.t  $i, j > n_{N+1}$  implies

$$\|g_i - g_j\| < 2^{-N}$$

$\rightarrow$  Setting  $f_k := g_{n_k} - g_{n_{k-1}}$  for  $k \geq 1$

and  $f_1 = g_{n_1}$ , then we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_k\| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|g_{n_k} - g_{n_{k-1}}\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} < \infty$$

$\therefore \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k$  converges in  $\mathcal{H}$  by our

(19)

hypothesis

$\Rightarrow g_{n_k} = \sum_{j=1}^k f_j$  converges in  $\mathcal{H}$

$\Rightarrow (g_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  converges in  $\mathcal{H}$

$\Rightarrow (g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges in  $\mathcal{H}$

$\therefore \mathcal{H}$  is complete  $\square$