

★ Hahn - Banach Theorem for

(51)

Banach spaces

Let M be a subspace of the Banach space H . If φ is a bounded linear functional on M , then $\exists \Phi \in H^*$ s.t.

$$\Phi(f) = \varphi(f) \text{ for } f \in M \text{ and } \|\Phi\| = \|\varphi\|.$$

Proof: Denote by \tilde{H} the real linear space H with scalar field \mathbb{R} instead of \mathbb{C} .

→ Then the norm $\|\cdot\| : \tilde{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a sublinear functional on \tilde{H} .

→ Also $\psi = \operatorname{Re} \varphi$ is an \mathbb{R} -linear functional on the real subspace \tilde{M} with $\|\psi\| \leq \|\varphi\|$ ($\varphi = \psi + i \operatorname{Im} \varphi$)

→ Setting $\rho(f) := \|\varphi\| \|f\|$

$$\Rightarrow \psi(f) \leq \|\psi\| \|f\| \leq \rho(f) \quad \forall f \in \tilde{M}$$

where ρ is also sublinear on \tilde{H} .

∴ By the first Hahn-Banach theorem

(52)

∃ an \mathbb{R} -linear functional $\bar{\Psi}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ s.t

$$\bar{\Psi}(f) = \psi(f) \text{ on } \tilde{\mathcal{M}} \text{ and}$$

$$\bar{\Psi}(f) \leq \rho(f) = \|\varphi\| \|f\| \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{--- (A)}$$

→ Define $\bar{\Phi} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\bar{\Phi}(f) = \bar{\Psi}(f) - i\bar{\Psi}(if)$$

→ We want to show that $\bar{\Phi} \in \mathcal{H}^*$

(bounded \mathbb{C} -linear functional)

that extends φ with norm $\|\varphi\|$.

→ For $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\bar{\Phi}(f+g) = \bar{\Psi}(f+g) - i\bar{\Psi}(i(f+g))$$

$$= \bar{\Psi}(f) + \bar{\Psi}(g) - i\bar{\Psi}(if) - i\bar{\Psi}(ig)$$

$$= \bar{\Phi}(f) + \bar{\Phi}(g)$$

→ For $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\bar{\Phi}((\lambda_1 + i\lambda_2)f) = \bar{\Phi}(\lambda_1 f) + \bar{\Phi}(i\lambda_2 f)$$

$$= \lambda_1 \Phi(f) + i \lambda_2 \Phi(f) = (\lambda_1 + i \lambda_2) \Phi(f)$$

because $\Phi(if) = \Psi(if) + i\Psi(f) = i\Phi(f)$.

$\therefore \Phi$ is a complex linear functional on \mathcal{H} . For $f \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f) &= \Psi(f) - i\Psi(if) = \operatorname{Re} \omega(f) - i \operatorname{Re} \omega(if) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \omega(f) - i \operatorname{Re}(i\omega(f)) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \omega(f) - i(-\operatorname{Im} \omega(f)) = \omega(f) \end{aligned}$$

because $\operatorname{Im} z = -\operatorname{Re}(iz)$, $z = x + iy$

So Φ extends ω from M to \mathcal{H} .

\rightarrow By (A) we have $\|\Psi\| \leq \|\omega\|$

and also $\|\omega\| \leq \|\Phi\|$ - Therefore to prove $\|\Phi\| = \|\omega\|$ it suffices to prove $\|\Phi\| \leq \|\Psi\|$.

\rightarrow For $f \in \mathcal{H}$ write $\Phi(f) = r e^{i\theta}$, then

$$|\Phi(f)| = r = e^{-i\theta} \Phi(f) = \Phi(e^{-i\theta} f)$$

$$= \Psi(e^{-i\theta} f) = |\Psi(e^{-i\theta} f)| \leq \|\omega\| \|f\|$$

$$\therefore \|\bar{\Phi}\| \leq \|\omega\| \Rightarrow \|\bar{\Phi}\| = \|\omega\|$$

and $\bar{\Phi} \in \mathcal{H}^*$ that extends ω \square

Corollary: If $f \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\exists \omega \in \mathcal{H}^*$ with $\|\omega\| = 1$ so that $\omega(f) = \|f\|$.

Proof: Assume $f \neq 0$. Let

$$M = \{\lambda f : \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\} = \mathbb{C}f$$

and define Ψ on M by $\Psi(\lambda f) = \lambda \|f\|$

$\rightarrow \Psi$ is a bound \mathbb{C} -linear functional

$$\text{on } M \text{ with } \|\Psi\| = \sup_{\|\lambda f\| \leq 1} |\Psi(\lambda f)|$$

$$= \sup_{|\lambda| \|f\| \leq 1} |\lambda| \|f\| = 1$$

\therefore By H-B Theorem $\exists \omega \in \mathcal{H}^*$ with

$$\|\omega\| = \|\Psi\| = 1 \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\omega(f) = \Psi(f) = \|f\| \quad \square$$

Corollary: If $\varphi(f) = 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{H}^*$

(GS)

then $f = 0$.

★ Recall the "evaluation map"

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{J}: \mathcal{H} &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{**} \quad \text{where } (Jf)(\varphi) = \hat{f}(\varphi) \\ f &\mapsto \hat{f} & &= \varphi(f) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \|Jf\| &= \sup_{\substack{\|\varphi\| \leq 1 \\ \varphi \in \mathcal{H}^*}} |\hat{f}(\varphi)| = \sup_{\substack{\|\varphi\| \leq 1 \\ \varphi \in \mathcal{H}^*}} |\varphi(f)| \end{aligned}$$

$= \|f\|$ in general $\forall f \in \mathcal{H}$ by
previous corollaries

$\therefore J$ is an isometry (hence injective) \square

★ $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is a universal Banach space

Every Banach space \mathcal{H} is isometrically isomorphic to a closed subspace of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ for some compact Hausdorff space X .

Proof: Let $X = B_1^*$ the unit ball of \mathcal{H}^* with the w^* -topology.

(66)

→ So X is a compact Hausdorff space.

→ Define $\beta: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$ by

$$(\beta f)(\omega) = \omega(f)$$

→ For $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{H}$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\beta(\lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2)(\omega) = \omega(\lambda_1 f_1 + \lambda_2 f_2)$$

$$= \lambda_1 \omega(f_1) + \lambda_2 \omega(f_2)$$

$$= \lambda_1 \beta(f_1)(\omega) + \lambda_2 \beta(f_2)(\omega)$$

∴ β is a linear map.

→ For $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\|\beta(f)\|_\infty = \sup_{\omega \in B_1^*} |\beta(f)(\omega)| = \sup_{\omega \in B_1^*} |\omega(f)|$$

$$\leq \sup_{\omega \in B_1^*} \|\omega\| \|f\| \leq \|f\| \quad \text{--- } \textcircled{B}$$

But by the Corollaries $\exists \omega \in B_1^*$ s.t. (67)

$\omega(f) = \|f\|$, so we have in (B)

$$\|\beta(f)\|_\infty = \|f\|$$

$\therefore \beta : A \xrightarrow{\cong} C(X)$ is an

"isometric isomorphism" □